

Table IV.B.1 – Maximum average annual particle number- and mass-based effluent limits for Fine Sediment Particles (FSP) Total Phosphorus (TP) and Total Nitrogen (TN) to meet the first five year TMDL milestone

Jurisdiction	Baseline FSP (# of particles)	FSP Allowable Load	Baseline TP (kg)	TP Allowable Load	Baseline TN (kg)	TN Allowable Load
El Dorado County	2.2×10^{19}	2.0×10^{19}	1043	970	4082	3755
Placer County	2.6×10^{19}	2.3×10^{19}	1111	1033	4635	4264
City of South Lake Tahoe	1.9×10^{19}	1.7×10^{19}	789	734	3361	3092

Pollutant load reductions shall be measured in accordance with the processes outlined in the Lake Clarity Crediting Program Handbook (Attachment D). To demonstrate compliance with the average annual fine sediment particle pollutant load reduction requirements outlined in Table IV.B.1, each Permittee must earn and maintain Lake Clarity Credits in accordance with Table IV.B.2 for water year October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016, and for subsequent water years.

Table IV.B.2 – Minimum Lake Clarity Credit Requirements

Jurisdiction	Min. Lake Clarity Credit Requirement*
El Dorado County	220
Placer County	260
City of South Lake Tahoe	190

*The Lake Clarity Crediting Program Handbook defines one (1) Lake Clarity Credit as equal to 1.0×10^{16} fine sediment particles with a diameter less than 16 micrometers

To ultimately achieve the deep water transparency standard, Permittees shall reduce FSP, TP, and TN loading according to the requirements in the Lake Tahoe TMDL outlined for the “Urban Upland” pollutant source (Attachment B). In accordance with the TMDL, incremental pollutant load reductions will result in attaining the deep water transparency standard by the year 2076.

C. Pollutant Load Reduction Plans

Each Permittee shall prepare a detailed plan describing how it expects to meet the pollutant load reduction requirements described in Section IV.B above. Permittees shall submit a plan no later than **March 15, 2013** that shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

2. Catchment registration schedule

The Pollutant Load Reduction Plan (PLRP) shall include a list of catchments that the Permittee plans to register pursuant to the Lake Clarity Crediting Program (see Attachment D) to meet load reduction requirements. The list shall include catchments where capital improvement projects have been constructed since May 1, 2004 that the Permittee expects to claim credit for, and catchments where projects will be constructed during this Permit term.

The list may also include catchments where Permittees plan actions other than capital improvements (such as enhanced operations and maintenance). The plan shall describe which catchments the Permittee anticipates it will register for each year of this Permit term.

3. Proposed pollutant control measures

For each catchment in the registration plan, the PLRP shall describe storm water program activities to reduce fine sediment particle, total phosphorus, and total nitrogen loading.

4. Pollutant load reduction estimates

For each catchment in the registration plan (or a catchment subset that provides adequate representation of various land use and management practice variables) Permittees shall provide estimates of both baseline pollutant loading and expected pollutant loading to demonstrate that proposed actions will, over the course of this Permit term, reduce the Permittee's jurisdiction-wide pollutant load by the amounts specified in Section IV.B above. The pollutant load reduction estimate shall differentiate between estimates of pollutant load reductions achieved since May 1, 2004 and pollutant load reductions from actions not yet taken.

5. Load reduction schedule

The PLRP shall describe a schedule for achieving the pollutant load reduction requirements described in Section IV.B above. The schedule shall include an estimate of expected pollutant load reductions for each year of this Permit term based on preliminary numeric modeling results.

6. Annual adaptive management

The PLRP shall include a description of the internal process and procedures to annually assess storm water management activities and associated load reduction progress. The adaptive management discussion shall describe how the Permittee will use information from the previous years' monitoring and implementation efforts to make needed adjustments to ensure compliance with the load reduction requirements specified in Section IV.B.

6. Collect paired turbidity and FSP measurements concurrently with flow at the catchment outfall. Relate FSP concentration by mass (mg/L) results to turbidity measurements by developing an FSP concentration/turbidity rating curve that correlates FSP concentration data collected over the range of conditions to measured turbidity. Use accepted FSP mass to particle number conversions to report FSP results as number of particles.
7. Use collected data to estimate the average flow-weighted concentration of each pollutant for each season monitored.
8. Calculate the total load (mass in kilograms for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total suspended solids and number of particles for FSP) of each pollutant for each season monitored as the product of the total seasonal volume and the average seasonal concentration.
9. Use long-term regional meteorological data to identify whether the data were collected during dry, average, or wet seasons.
10. Follow quality assurance protocols established by the Regional Storm Water Monitoring Program (RSWMP) Quality Assurance Project Plan (May 2011) for all sampling activities.
11. Maintain monitoring locations and collect samples for no fewer than three water years (October 1 – September 30).

B. **Best Management Practice (BMP) Effectiveness Monitoring**

The PLRM and other pollutant load estimation tools use the best available information to assess water quality benefits expected from implementing storm water treatment devices and other BMPs. Condition assessments are used to verify that the condition of a BMP or specific land use is being maintained at an acceptable condition. BMP effectiveness monitoring is needed to verify that each Permittee's BMP implementation and maintenance practices are resulting in actual measured pollutant load reductions. BMP effectiveness monitoring is also needed to improve installation and maintenance practices for various BMPs to optimize water quality benefits.

Each Permittee must, at a minimum:

1. Select at least one (1) storm water treatment device or other BMP and monitor effectiveness for at least three successive years.

2. If the selected BMP is a flow-through structure/device, obtain continuous flow at the inlet and outlet to support seasonal [Fall/Winter (October 1 – February 28) Snow melt (March 1 – May 31) and Summer (June 1 – September 30)] inflow and outflow volume reporting.

If the selected BMP is not a flow-through device, devise a reasonable method to obtain continuous flow at the inlet to support seasonal volume reporting of storm water treated/infiltrated/contained by the BMP.

If the selected BMP is a pollutant source control measure, the Permittee need not report hydrology and the monitoring plan shall describe methods to calculate the mass of pollutant controlled per land surface area.

3. Collect influent (or up gradient) and effluent (or down gradient) storm water samples to assess treatment device/activity performance.
4. Analyze all collected water samples for the Lake Tahoe TMDL pollutants of concern – fine sediment particles, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus. The priority pollutant is FSP reported as the number of particles per liter of water. Samples collected and analyzed for FSP shall span the range of expected FSP concentrations experienced at the inlet and outlet.

Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total suspended solids sample analyses may be conducted with lesser frequency than FSP analyses provided Permittees demonstrate the proposed approach will provide a representative sampling of the range of pollutant concentrations. The sampling strategy should include a range of event types that is proportional to their frequency of occurrence and total seasonal volume contributions.

5. Use collected data to estimate the average concentration of each pollutant for each season monitored.
6. If evaluating a pollutant or hydrologic source control BMP, describe a data collection approach and reasonable extrapolation method to estimate volume of runoff eliminated (hydrologic source control) or the mass of the pollutant, or number of particles eliminated per unit area of the land surface affected (pollutant source control). Describe how this value will be used to estimate pollutant loads controlled per season [Fall/Winter (October 1 – February 28) Snow melt (March 1 – May 31) and Summer (June 1 – September 30)].

7. Use long-term regional meteorological data to identify whether the data were collected during dry, average, or wet seasons.
8. Follow quality assurance protocols established by the RSWMP Quality Assurance Project Plan (May 2011) for all sampling activities.

C. Monitoring Plan

By **March 15, 2013** each Permittee shall prepare and submit to the Water Board a storm water monitoring plan to implement the requirements described in Sections III.A and III.B above.

For catchment outfall monitoring, the plan shall describe how the requirements in Section III.A above will be met, including which catchments the Permittee proposes to monitor, proposed monitoring instrumentation, proposed sampling frequency, data management and proposed analysis and reporting methods. The monitoring plan shall include a detailed discussion of the rationale for the chosen sampling sites, methods, and frequency and a discussion of how the proposed monitoring will support, enhance, or otherwise inform the Permittee's existing load estimation or condition assessment methods and the Permittee's pollutant load reduction program.

For the BMP effectiveness monitoring, the plan shall describe how the requirements in Section III.B above will be met, including a description of the selected storm water treatment device or BMP, a discussion of influent (or upstream) and effluent (downstream) monitoring locations, and a description of how the proposed monitoring will evaluate the effectiveness of the chosen BMP and provide information to improve the collective understanding of how the chosen BMP should be installed and maintained over time.

The submitted monitoring plans must be reviewed and approved by the Water Board to ensure compliance with Permit and Monitoring and Reporting Program requirements.

D. Storm Water Monitoring Data Management

Electronic data shall be in a format compatible with the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) database (See <http://mpsl.mlml.calstate.edu/swdataformats.htm>) and the *California Environmental Data Exchange Network (CEDEN)* at www.ceden.org.

If an existing collaborative organization or other research and monitoring effort has initiated plans after the adoption of this Permit to conduct monitoring that would fulfill the requirements described in Sections III.A, III.B, and III.C above, the Permittees may request the Water Board adjust monitoring and reporting dates to synchronize with such efforts.

IV. Annual Reporting Requirements

For each water year (October 1-September 30), Permittees shall develop and submit an Annual Report by **March 15, 2014** and by **March 15** of each subsequent year of the permit term. Annual Reports shall include the following elements:

A. Pollutant Load Reduction Reporting

Each Permittee must describe actions taken to fulfill the requirements of Monitoring and Reporting Section I. Specifically, each Permittee's annual report must include a list of catchments registered in the Accounting and Tracking Tool and a summary of applicable condition assessment results for all registered catchments pursuant to Section I.D above.

Each Permittee shall list its total credit award for the previous water year to demonstrate progress at meeting pollutant load reduction requirements.

Each Permittee shall describe load reduction progress in context of its Pollutant Load Reduction Plan (PLRP), including a discussion of whether catchment registration, associated load reduction estimates, and implementation actions are consistent with the submitted and accepted PLRP. Permittees shall discuss any deviations from the accepted PLRP, provide rationale for those deviations, and, if necessary, describe how the Permittee will compensate for any noted shortfalls in expected pollutant load reductions.

B. Storm water Facilities Inspection Report

The annual report shall include a summary report of all storm water facility inspections performed pursuant to Section II.A of this Monitoring and Reporting Program. The report shall include a list of all areas inspected, a description of identified pollutant sources and/or problem areas, and a discussion of any planned or completed maintenance and/or enforcement follow up activities.

C. Construction Site Inspection Report

The annual report shall include a summary report of all construction inspections performed pursuant to Section II.B of this Monitoring and Reporting Program. The summary report shall include a list of all construction sites inspected, a description of identified problems, and a discussion of any planned or completed enforcement follow up activities.

D. Commercial, Industrial, and Municipal Site Inspection Report

The annual report shall include a summary of all commercial, industrial, and municipal site inspections performed pursuant to Section II.C of this Monitoring and Reporting Program. The summary shall include a list of all commercial, industrial, and municipal sites inspected, a description of identified problems, and a discussion of any planned or completed enforcement follow up activities.

E. Traction Abrasive and Deicing Material Report

The annual report shall include a summary report of the monitoring data collected pursuant to Section II.C of this Monitoring and Reporting Program.

F. Storm water Monitoring Report

By March 15, 2014 and by **March 15** of each subsequent year of the Permit term, each Permittee shall submit a comprehensive electronic report that summarizes cumulative storm water monitoring results from the catchment load monitoring and BMP effectiveness evaluations conducted during the previous water year (October 1 – September 30).

The storm water monitoring report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

1. A discussion of monitoring purpose and study design and the underlying rationale.
2. Details of the data collection methods, sampling protocols and analytical methods including detection limits.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control summaries.
4. Maps and descriptions of all monitoring locations including latitude and longitude coordinates and data obtained at each location.
5. Raw analytical data that includes sample identification, collection date, time and analytical reporting results for all collected samples.

6. Documentation of data management procedure.
7. Details of data analysis, calculations and assumptions used to obtain results and draw conclusions.
8. Catchment outlet monitoring - data tables and graphical data summaries that include seasonal total volume (cubic feet), seasonal average concentrations (milligrams/liter and number of particles/liter) and load (kilograms and number of particles) of each pollutant outlined in section III.A.4 of this Monitoring and Reporting Program.
9. Catchment outlet monitoring – provide interpretation of annually collected data relative to modeled average annual estimates and **conduct an assessment of this data** in the context of the water year type (wet, average, dry) using the regional meteorological analysis.
10. For long-term catchment monitoring, provide recent data in context with cumulative comparable results from previous years, noting trends. Consider the season type (wet, average, dry,) for each seasonal data point when evaluating trends and inter-annual variability in catchment results. Compare measured pollutant loads with modeled average annual variables and model outputs.
11. For flow-through BMPs - data tables and graphical data summaries of seasonal volume (cubic feet), average inlet and outlet pollutant concentrations (milligrams/liter and number of particles/liter) and pollutant loads (kilograms and number of particles) for each pollutant outlined in section III.B.4 of this Monitoring and Reporting Program. Permittees shall report the seasonal storm water volume (cubic feet) and pollutant load reduced (kilograms and number of particles) for each pollutant for each season of measure.
12. For hydrologic or pollutant source control BMPs - data tables and graphical summaries of seasonal storm water volumes (cubic feet) (hydrologic source control) as a result of the BMP implementation and maintenance or seasonal pollutant mass (kilograms and number of particles) reduced over the area of land surface subject to the chosen BMP for each pollutant described in Section III.B.4. For multi-year BMP evaluations, provide recent data in context with cumulative comparable results from previous years, noting trends.
13. For BMP monitoring – provide interpretation of annually collected data relative to applicable model parameters and **conduct an assessment of this data** in the context of the water year type (wet, average, dry) using the regional meteorological analysis.

14. A final monitoring summary including the following values for each monitored location.

Season	Seasonal Volume (cf)	Pollutant	Seasonal Concentration (mg/L)	Seasonal Concentration (# particles/L)	Seasonal Load (kg)
Fall Winter (Oct 1-Feb 28)	x	FSP	x	x	x
		TSS	x		x
		TP	x		x
		TN	x		x
Spring Melt (Mar 1-May 31)	x	FSP	x	x	x
		TSS	x		x
		TP	x		x
		TN	x		x
Summer (June 1-Sept 31)	x	FSP	x	x	x
		TSS	x		x
		TP	x		x
		TN	x		x
Water Year Totals: Total WY precipitation (in/yr)					
Water year type: very dry, dry, average, wet, very wet					
Water Year Total	x	FSP			x
		TSS			x
		TP			x
		TN			x

15. A discussion of lessons learned from storm water monitoring efforts including, but not limited to, catchment water quality improvement strategies, pollutant sources analyses, pollutant fate and transport within sampled catchments, BMP design and/or implementation improvements, and maintenance strategy effectiveness (including techniques or frequency).

16. A discussion of any proposed changes to the storm water monitoring program and the rationale for each proposed change.

If Permittees are working collaboratively to meet the requirements specified in Section III of this Monitoring and Reporting Program, a single report for participating Permittees will be accepted.

G. Illicit Discharge Report

To assess compliance with Permit Sections I.A and III.B.5 each Permittee's annual report shall describe actions taken to prevent unauthorized non-storm water discharges and report any identified illicit discharges to its collection, conveyance, and treatment facilities. The report shall include a description of any education, outreach, or inspection activities conducted pursuant to Permit Sections III.B.1, III.B.2, III.B.3 and III.B.4 that support the Permittee's program to prohibit unauthorized non-storm water discharges.

H. Education Component Report

Each Permittee's annual report shall summarize all training and education activities conducted during the previous year, including a list of all education materials distributed and training provided to the public, to municipal employees, and to construction, commercial, industrial, or municipal site operators.

I. Impacts Influencing Baseline Pollutant Loads Report

In the annual report for the 2014 water year, Each Permittee shall summarize the assessment conducted pursuant to Monitoring and Reporting Program Section I.G to demonstrate compliance with Permit Order IV.D.

J. Provisions

Permittees shall comply with the "General Provisions for Monitoring and Reporting" dated September 1, 1994 that is attached to and made part of this Monitoring and Reporting Program as Attachment G.