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Objectives

• Describe US EPA’s 2012 RWQC.
• Discuss the historical and current understanding of risk related to criteria.
• Describe the science used to develop criteria.
### Examples of Waterborne Pathogens Associated with Feces

- Exposure to human feces-contaminated water is associated with illness and infection.
- GI illness is a common endpoint although some pathogens can cause infection in other systems.
- Some pathogens can occur in non-human or human fecal contamination, or both.
- EPA published a literature review in 2009 that identified studies of relevant zoonotic waterborne pathogens.*


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Incubation</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em></td>
<td>Diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, cramps, fever</td>
<td>2-5 days</td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenic <em>E. coli</em> (e.g., STEC, E. coli 0157:H7)</td>
<td>Cramps, diarrhea (bloody), vomiting</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>5-7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>Diarrhea, fever, cramps</td>
<td>1-3 days</td>
<td>5-7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella</em></td>
<td>Diarrhea (bloody), fever, cramps</td>
<td>1-2 days</td>
<td>5-7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norovirus</td>
<td>Diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, cramps</td>
<td>12-48 hours</td>
<td>1-3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotavirus</td>
<td>Fever, vomiting, diarrhea, cramps</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>3-8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>Respiratory, diarrhea, conjunctivitis, fever</td>
<td>5-8 days</td>
<td>1-2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A and E</td>
<td>Fever, abdominal pain, jaundice, fatigue, aches</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>4-8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Giardia</em></td>
<td>Diarrhea, gas, nausea, vomiting</td>
<td>1-3 weeks</td>
<td>2-6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptosporidium</em></td>
<td>diarrhea (watery), cramps, nausea, vomiting, fever,</td>
<td>2-10 days</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opportunities: Use of Fecal Indicator Organisms in Public Health

• Impractical to routinely monitor for all potential pathogens associated with fecal contamination.

• Non-pathogenic surrogates, culturable fecal indicator bacteria (FIB), have been used for over a century to monitor water quality and manage risk from exposure to human sewage contaminated waters (Dufour and Schaub, 2007; Boehm et al., 2009).

• FIB are found in high densities in sewage and the intestinal track of humans and other warm-blooded animals.

• Elevated levels in water can indicate the presence of fecal contamination.

• Easy to enumerate via culture and gene-based methods.
Challenges: Using Fecal Indicator Organisms

• Not specific to human fecal contamination (Boehm et al., 2009).
• Can persist/recover/regrow in the environment (Blatchley et al., 2007; Boehm et al., 2009; Dorevitch et al., 2010).
• Can have significant loading from non-fecal sources (Byappanahalli et al., 2006).
• Documented treatment differential in WWTPs (Kitajima et al., 2014).
• Do not correlate with pathogens (Wu et al., 2011).
Fecal Indicator Organisms, Recreational Water and the Evolving Understanding of Risk

• 1950s-1960s
  • Observed significant increase in gastrointestinal illness above 2300 total coliforms/100 mL (Stevenson, 1953).
    • Assumption of “zero-excess risk” below this level.
    • Total coliforms not specific to fecal contamination.
  • In 1968, the National Technical Advisory Committee (NTAC) translated the 2300 total coliform/100 mL level to 400 fecal coliforms/100 mL (Dufour and Schaub, 2007).
    • Ohio River data showed fecal coliforms were about 18% of the total coliforms.
    • NTAC applied a safety factor halving the fecal coliform value to 200/100mL.
    • In 1976, EPA published the PHS recommendations as the first federal ambient water quality criteria: GM 200 FC/100 mL with no more than 10% of samples above 400 FC/100 mL.
    • Assumption of “zero excess risk” at this level.
Fecal Indicator Organisms, Recreational Water and the Evolving Understanding of Risk

• 1970s-1980s
  • EPA conducted epidemiological studies at marine (Cabelli/EPA, 1983) and fresh (Dufour, 1984) water beaches.
    • Defined gastrointestinal illness (GI) as Highly Credible Gastrointestinal Illness (HCGI) including vomiting; diarrhea with a fever or disabling, stomachache or nausea accompanied by a fever
    • Strongest associations observed between HCGI and enterococci (marine and fresh) and E. coli (fresh).
Fecal Indicator Organisms, Recreational Water and the Evolving Understanding of Risk

• On May 24, 1984, EPA proposed draft criteria based on the then new epidemiological results (OW-FRL-2593-1, FRN 49(102), 21987-21988).
  • New data demonstrated previous recommendations did not represent ‘zero’ excess risk.
  • 200 FC/100 mL represented 15 GI/1000 recreators in marine and 6 GI/1000 recreators in fresh.
  • Proposed draft criteria corresponding to those risk levels were 20 ENT/100 mL or 77 EC/100 mL in fresh and 3 ENT/100 mL in marine.
  • Public comments included concerns about implementing some of the proposed values because of the method level of quantification and background levels of indicator.

• Final 1986 Recreational Ambient Water Quality Criteria recommendations.
  • Translated 200 FC/100 mL into levels of enterococci and *E. coli* from the water quality data collected during the epidemiological studies, which then became the recommended water quality values.
  • The epidemiological relationships from Cabelli/EPA (1983) and Dufour (1984) were used to describe the level of HCGI associated with the water quality values (e.g., 8 HCGI/1000 in fresh and 19 HCGI/1000 in marine).
2000 BEACH Act Amendments

- Section 104(v) – directed EPA to conduct new studies
  - “an assessment of potential human health risks resulting from exposure to pathogens in coastal recreation waters...”
  - “appropriate and effective indicators for improving detection in a timely manner...”
- Section 304(a)(9) -- Requires EPA to publish new or revised criteria within 5 years and review every 5 years thereafter based on most recently available science.
The National Epidemiologic and Environmental Assessment of Recreational (NEEAR) Water Study

Research question:

*Is there an association between illness and recreational water quality as measured by novel and rapid methods of determining water quality?*

Selected publications:

Study Design Highlights

• Prospective cohort
  • Household sample of beachgoers
• Three interviews:
  • Enrollment
  • Beach interview
  • Telephone interview 10-12 days later
• Site selection
  • Effluent discharge nearby
  • Discharging population of at least 10,000
• Range of FIB
• Sufficient population
• Focus on water quality targets that can be quantified within a few hours
  • Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) (TaqMan)
    • Enterococcus (all sites), Bacteriodes (marine), Clostridia (marine)
  • Enterococcus culture (all sites); coliphage (one marine site).
Examples of study sites and discharges
Examples of study sites and discharges
NEEAR Health Outcomes Assessed

• NEEAR Gastrointestinal illness (NGI)
  • Diarrhea (3 or more loose stools in a 24-hour period); Vomiting; Nausea and stomach-ache; Nausea or stomach-ache and impact on activity.
  • Broader definition of illness compared to HCGI.
  • Viral GI Infections often produce mild or no fever.
  • Longer follow up period (10-12 days)

• Upper respiratory illness (URI)
  • Any two: sore throat, cough, runny nose, cold, fever

• Skin rash

• Eye irritations (watery eye or eye infection)

• Earache
NEEAR studies: Key Results

• Molecular-measures of FIB were associated with GI illness among swimmers.
  • Dose dependent associations established
  • Body immersion swimming exposure
• Data for non-GI illnesses assessed did not exhibit dose-dependent associations with water quality measures
• ENT qPCR associations with NGI were stronger and more consistent than for culture-based ENT (e.g., odd ratios were not statistically significant).
  • Freshwater AOR: 1.36 (p=0.0006)
  • Marine AOR: 2.56 (p=0.007)
• 8:00 AM samples also statistically associated with GI illness
2012 US EPA Recreational Water Quality Criteria

• Included HCGI to NEEAR-GI translation = ~4.5x (Wymer et al., 2011)
• Single criteria for marine and freshwater based on an illness rate
  • 7-8 HCGI ≈ 32-36 NGI
• Statistical Threshold Values, Beach Action Values provided
• Enterococcus qPCR provided as an option with guideline values
• Section 6 provides tools to support evaluating and managing recreational waters (sanitary surveys and predictive modeling) and for considering alternative criteria (Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA), epidemiology studies, method performance)
• Technical Support Materials for implementation guidance.

https://www.epa.gov/wqc/recreational-water-quality-criteria-and-methods
2012 US EPA Recreational Water Quality Criteria

Table 4. Recommended 2012 RWQC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria Elements</th>
<th>Estimated Illness Rate (NGI): 36 per 1,000 primary contact recreators</th>
<th>Estimated Illness Rate (NGI): 32 per 1,000 primary contact recreators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM (cfu/100 mL) OR STV (cfu/100 mL) OR STV (cfu/100 mL)</td>
<td>GM (cfu/100 mL) OR STV (cfu/100 mL) OR STV (cfu/100 mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococci – marine and fresh</td>
<td>35 OR 130</td>
<td>30 OR 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli – fresh</td>
<td>126 OR 410</td>
<td>100 OR 320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duration and Frequency: The waterbody GM should not be greater than the selected GM magnitude in any 30-day interval. There should not be greater than a ten percent excursion frequency of the selected STV magnitude in the same 30-day interval.

Table 6. Values for qPCR in marine and fresh waters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Estimated Illness Rate (NGI): 36/1,000 primary contact recreators</th>
<th>Estimated Illness Rate (NGI): 32/1,000 primary contact recreators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM (ccc per 100 mL) OR STV (ccc per 100 mL) OR STV (ccc per 100 mL)</td>
<td>GM (ccc per 100 mL) OR STV (ccc per 100 mL) OR STV (ccc per 100 mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCRa</td>
<td>470 OR 2,000</td>
<td>300 OR 1,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duration and Frequency: The waterbody GM should not be greater than the selected GM magnitude in any 30-day interval. There should not be greater than a ten percent excursion frequency of the selected STV magnitude in the same 30-day interval.

*a EPA recommends using EPA Method 1600 (U.S. EPA, 2002a) to measure culturable enterococci, or another equivalent method that measures culturable enterococci and using EPA Method 1603 (U.S. EPA, 2002b) to measure culturable E. coli, or any other equivalent method that measures culturable E. coli.
US EPA Five-Year Review of RWQC

• Purpose: Assessment of the Need to Revise the 2012 RWQC.
• Required by the BEACH Act.
• 2nd Recreational Review: ongoing
  • Process: Literature search on specific topics including -
    • Health studies (epidemiological studies, QMRA, outbreak reports)
    • Children’s health and exposure
    • Alternative surrogates and enumeration methodologies
    • Fecal source identification
    • Antimicrobial resistance
Data Integration: NEEAR and CA Epidemiological studies

• Combined data set -13 beaches, >80,000 observations, 360 beach days, ~5,000 water samples- provided opportunity for further analysis

• Arnold et al. (AJPH, 2016)
  • Children health risks

• Benjamin-Chung et al. (Epidemiology, 2017)
  • Coliphage- associations with swimming-associated illness

• DeFlorio-Barker et al. (JESEE, 2017)
  • Children exposure- volumes of water ingested

• Wade et al. (PLOS One, 2022)
  • Additional analyses of children’s risk
  • Impact of source of fecal contamination and swimming exposure intensity
Wade et al. (2022): New Assessment of Children’s Risks

- Compare associations between enterococci CFU and qPCR CCE and health endpoints for various sites, fecal sources, exposure and age categories
- Use previously developed models (logistic regression), accounting for possible confounders such as underlying health conditions, contact with ill people, consumption of risky foods, other swimming, etc.
- Test differences to see if risks differ in children compared to adults
- Odds ratios – expressed here as increase in risk of illness per log increase in enterococci, among exposed group.
### Wade et al. (2022): Key parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illness outcome</strong></td>
<td>Gastrointestinal illness (NEEAR-GI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe gastrointestinal illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sore throat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Swimming exposure</strong></td>
<td>Any contact with water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body immersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swallowing water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At least 30 minutes in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At least 60 minutes in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water quality indicator</strong></td>
<td>Enterococcus qPCR-CE⁹ (geometric mean-daily average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterococcus CFU⁹ (geometric mean- daily average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age category</strong></td>
<td>All ages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 and under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 and under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 and under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 and under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 and over</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 and over</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source/site</strong></td>
<td>All sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sites with likely human source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sites with likely human source, excluding tropical site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All NEEAR sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEEAR point source sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEEAR core sites (excluding tropical and non-point source site)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Wade et al. (2022): Site classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **All sites**                     | Avalon Beach  
Boquerón Beach  
Doheny Beach  
Edgewater Beach  
Fairhope Beach  
Goddard State Park Beach  
Huntington Beach | Malibu Beach  
Mission Bay  
Silver Beach  
Surfside Beach  
Washington Park Beach  
West Beach |
| **Human impacted**                | Avalon Beach (ground water discharge above median)\(^b\)  
Boquerón Beach  
Doheny Beach (berm open)\(^a\)  
Edgewater Beach  
Fairhope Beach | Goddard State Park Beach  
Huntington Beach  
Washington Park Beach  
West Beach  
Silver Beach |
| **Human impacted (excluding tropical)** | Avalon Beach (ground water discharge above median)\(^b\)  
Doheny Beach (berm open)\(^a\)  
Edgewater Beach  
Fairhope Beach | Goddard State Park Beach  
Huntington Beach  
Washington Park Beach  
West Beach  
Silver Beach |
| **All NEEAR**                     | Boquerón Beach  
Edgewater Beach  
Fairhope Beach  
Goddard State Park Beach  
Huntington Beach | Silver Beach  
Surfside Beach  
Washington Park Beach  
West Beach |
| **All NEEAR- point source**       | Boquerón Beach  
Edgewater Beach  
Fairhope Beach  
Goddard State Park Beach | Huntington Beach  
Silver Beach  
Washington Park Beach  
West Beach |
| **NEEAR- core sites**             | Edgewater Beach  
Fairhope Beach  
Goddard State Park Beach | Huntington Beach  
Silver Beach  
Washington Park Beach  
West Beach |
Wade et al. (2022): Children’s Health Outcomes

Odds ratios for diarrhea associated with a 1 log increase in Ent qPCR CCE (among exposed group)
Wade et al. (2022): Children’s Health Outcomes

Odds ratios for NGI associated with a 1 log increase in ENT qPCR CCE
Wade et al. (2022): Children’s Health Outcomes

Odds ratios for NGI associated with a 1 log increase in ENT CFU
Wade et al. (2022): Source of contamination

Odds ratios for diarrhea associated with a 1 log increase in Ent qPCR (among children 10 and under in exposed group)

- Human impacted sites driving risk
Wade et al. (2022): Key Findings

• Diarrhea was more strongly associated with ENT than other health endpoints, including NGI.

• Associations with ENT qPCR were strongest and statistically significant among children under several different exposure scenarios.
  • Associations were weaker (not significant) among ENT CFUs with borderline statistical significance observed for children 6-years and under.

• Children were also at statistically significant greater risk for “severe” GI illness.
  • Resulting in missed activities, hospital visit, or lasting more than 3 days.

• Stronger associations observed at sites impacted by human fecal contamination – source matters.

• Strongest association at “Core” NEEAR sites.
• Stronger associations observed with higher exposures.
Conclusions

• Science continues to advance our understanding of risk in recreational waters affected by fecal contamination.

• Considerations when interpreting culturable FIB data includes their source and the source(s) of fecal contamination.

• Sanitary surveys* and other tools can be used to understand fecal sources.

• Additional tools have been developed to characterize potential risk (e.g., QMRA) and measure fecal contamination (e.g., gene-based methodologies, source identifiers).

*US EPA’s sanitary survey app: https://www.epa.gov/beach-tech/sanitary-surveys-recreational-waters
Extra Slides
# Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beach</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Number enrolled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Beach</td>
<td>Indiana Dunes State Park, Lake Michigan</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Freshwater, Great Lakes</td>
<td>2,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
<td>Bay Village Ohio, Lake Erie</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Freshwater, Great Lakes</td>
<td>2,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Beach</td>
<td>St. Joseph Michigan, Lake Michigan</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Freshwater, Great Lakes</td>
<td>10,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Park Beach</td>
<td>Michigan City Indiana, Lake Michigan</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Freshwater, Great Lakes</td>
<td>4,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewater Beach</td>
<td>Edgewater, Mississippi</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Marine, Gulf Coast</td>
<td>1,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairhope Beach</td>
<td>Fairhope, Alabama</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Marine, Gulf Coast</td>
<td>2,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goddard State Park Beach</td>
<td>Goddard State Park, Rhode Island</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Marine, Atlantic</td>
<td>2,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfside Beach</td>
<td>Surfside, South Carolina</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Marine, Atlantic</td>
<td>11,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boquerón Beach</td>
<td>Boquerón, Puerto Rico</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Marine, Atlantic, Tropical</td>
<td>15,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malibu Beach</td>
<td>Malibu, California</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Marine, Atlantic</td>
<td>5,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doheny Beach</td>
<td>Dana Point, California</td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>Marine, Pacific</td>
<td>9,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Bay</td>
<td>San Diego, California</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Marine, Pacific</td>
<td>8,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avalon Beach</td>
<td>Catalina Island, California</td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>Marine, Pacific</td>
<td>6,149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>