

PERMIT REQUIREMENTS:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, the County of Riverside, and the incorporated cities of Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Hemet, Lake Elsinore, Menifee, Moreno Valley, Murrieta, Norco, Perris, Riverside, San Jacinto, and Wildomar, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the Water Code and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the CWA, as amended, and the regulations and guidelines adopted there under, must comply with the following:

III. PERMITTEE RESPONSIBILITIES:

A. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PRINCIPAL PERMITTEE:

1. The Principal Permittee shall be responsible for managing the overall Urban Runoff program and shall:
 - a. Coordinate revisions to the DAMP.
 - b. Implement area-wide management programs, monitoring and reporting programs, and related plans as required by this Order.
 - c. Coordinate chemical and biological water quality monitoring and any other monitoring as required by the Executive Officer.
 - d. Prepare, coordinate the preparation of, and submit to the Executive Officer, those reports and programs necessary to comply with this Order.
 - e. Provide staff support to the Management Steering Committee (Appendix 4, Glossary) to address Urban Runoff management policies for the Permit Area and coordinate the review, and necessary revisions to the DAMP and Implementation Agreement. The Management Steering Committee will continue to meet consistent with the requirements of Section XVII.D of this Order.
 - f. Coordinate and conduct Technical Committee (Appendix 4) meetings consistent with the requirements of Section XVII.D of this Order. The Technical Committee will continue to direct the development of the DAMP and coordinate the implementation of the overall Urban Runoff program.
 - g. Take the lead role in initiating and developing area-wide programs and activities necessary to comply with this Order.

- h. Coordinate activities and participate in committees/subcommittees formed to comply with this Order.
- i. Coordinate the implementation of this Order with the Regional Board and Co-Permittees, including the submittal of joint reports, plans, and programs as required under this Order.
- j. Provide technical and administrative support to the Co-Permittees, including informing them of the status of known pertinent municipal programs, pilot projects, and research studies.
- k. Coordinate with the Co-Permittees the implementation and necessary updates to Urban Runoff quality management programs, monitoring and reporting programs, implementation plans, public education, other Pollution Prevention measures, household Hazardous Waste collection, and BMPs outlined in the DAMP and take other actions consistent with the MEP standard.
- l. Gather and disseminate information on the status of statewide Urban Runoff programs and evaluate the information for potential use in the execution of this Order. Hold workshops focused on Urban Runoff regulatory requirements, BMPs, and other related topics.
- m. Compile information provided by the Co-Permittees and determine the effectiveness of the overall Urban Runoff program in attaining Receiving Water Quality Standards. This determination must include a comparative analysis of monitoring data to the applicable Water Quality Objectives for Receiving Waters as specified in Chapter 4 of the Basin Plan.
- n. Solicit and coordinate public input for major changes to the Urban Runoff management programs and the implementation thereof.
- o. Coordinate the development and implementation of procedures and performance standards, to assist in the consistent implementation of BMPs consistent with the MEP standard, as well as Urban Runoff management programs, among the Co-Permittees.
- p. Participate in watershed management programs and regional and/or statewide monitoring and reporting programs.
- q. In collaboration with the Co-Permittees, other MS4 Programs and/or CASQA, develop guidelines for defining expertise and competencies of storm water program managers and inspectors and develop and submit for approval a training program for various positions in accordance with these guidelines and Section XV of this Order.

IV. LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN:

- C. Each Permittee shall annually review and evaluate the effectiveness of its Urban Runoff programs to determine the need for revisions to its LIP as necessary in compliance with Section VIII.H of this Order, and document revisions in the Annual Report.

V. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS:

- A. In accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 122.26(d)(2)(i)B) and 40 CFR 122.26(d)(2)(i)(F), the Permittees shall prohibit IC/IDs (see Appendix 4) from entering the MS4.
- B. The discharge of Urban Runoff from the MS4 to Receiving Waters containing Pollutants, including trash and debris, that have not been reduced consistent with the MEP standard is prohibited.
- C. Non-storm Water discharges from public agency activities into Waters of the US are prohibited unless the Non-storm Water discharges are permitted by a NPDES permit, granted a waiver, or as otherwise specified in Section VI, below.
- D. Discharges from the MS4 shall be in compliance with the discharge prohibitions contained in Chapter 5 of the Basin Plan.
- E. Discharges of Urban Runoff from the Permittee's MS4 shall not cause or contribute to a condition of Pollution, Contamination, or Nuisance (as defined in CWC Section 13050).
- F. The discharge of any substances in concentrations toxic to animal or plant life is prohibited.

VI. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS, DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS AND OTHER TMDL RELATED REQUIREMENTS

For purposes of this Order, a discharge may include storm water or other types of discharges identified below.

A. ALLOWED DISCHARGES:

The discharges identified need not be prohibited by the Permittees unless identified by the Permittees or the Executive Officer as a significant source of Pollutants. The DAMP shall include public education and outreach activities directed at reducing these discharges even if they are not substantial contributors of Pollutants to the MS4.

1. Discharges composed entirely of storm water;

Table 8 - Lake Elsinore/Canyon Lake Model Update Plan

Model Update Task	Due Date
Linkage Analysis Study	August 31, 2010
Watershed Source Loading Study	August 31, 2010
Model Evaluation	December 31, 2010
Construct/Calibrate Model	June 30, 2011
Conduct Model Scenarios	August 31, 2011
Model Update Final Report	November 30, 2011

- c. Revise the DAMP, WQMP and LIPs as necessary to implement the interim WQBEL compliance plans submitted pursuant to paragraph a and b of this section and summarize all such revisions in the Annual Report.

Final WQBELs (Effective December 31, 2020)

- d. To achieve compliance with TMDL WLAs as per the TMDL Implementation Plans, the LE/CL Permittees shall submit a Comprehensive Nutrient Reduction Plan (CNRP) by December 31, 2011 describing, in detail, the specific actions that have been taken or will be taken to achieve compliance with the urban WLA by December 31, 2020. The CNRP must include the following:
 - i. **Evaluation of the effectiveness of BMPs and other control actions implemented.** This evaluation shall include the following:
 - (a) The specific ordinance(s) adopted or proposed for adoption to reduce the concentration of nutrient in urban sources.
 - (b) The specific BMPs implemented to reduce the concentration of urban nutrient sources and the water quality improvements expected to result from these BMPs.
 - (c) The specific inspection criteria used to identify and manage the urban sources most likely causing exceedances of water quality objectives for nutrients.
 - (d) The specific regional treatment facilities and the locations where such facilities will be built to reduce the concentration of nutrient discharged from urban sources and the expected water quality improvements to result when the facilities are complete.

and

- ii. Proposed method for evaluating progress towards compliance with the nutrient WLA for Urban Runoff. The progress evaluation shall include:
 - (a) The scientific and technical documentation used to conclude that the CNRP, once fully implemented, is expected to achieve compliance with the urban waste load allocation for nutrient by December 31, 2020.
 - (b) A detailed schedule for implementing the CNRP. The schedule must identify discrete milestones decision points and alternative analyses necessary to assess satisfactory progress toward meeting the urban waste load allocations for nutrient by December 31, 2020. The schedule must also indicate which agency or agencies are responsible for meeting each milestone.
 - (c) The specific metric(s) that will be established to demonstrate the effectiveness of the CNRP and acceptable progress toward meeting the urban waste load allocations for nutrient by December 31, 2020.
 - (d) The DAMP, WQMP and LIPs shall be revised consistent with the CNRP no more than 180 days after the CNRP is approved by the Regional Board.
 - (e) Detailed descriptions of any additional BMPs planned, and the time required to implement those BMPs, in the event that data from the watershed-wide water quality monitoring program indicate that water quality objectives for nutrient are still being exceeded after the CNRP is fully implemented.
- e. The draft CNRP must be submitted to the Regional Board by December 31, 2011. The LE/CL Permittees may submit the plan individually, jointly or through a collaborative effort with other urban dischargers such as the existing LE/CLTMDL Task Force. Regional Board staff will review the document and recommend necessary revisions no more than 90 days after receiving the draft plan. The LE/CL Permittees must submit the final version of the plan no more than 90 days after receiving the comments from Regional Board staff. The Regional Board will schedule a public hearing to consider approving the CNRP, as a final water quality-based effluent limitation for the Nutrient WLA, no more than 90 days after the final plan is submitted by the LE/CL Permittees. In approving the CNRP as the final WQBELs, the Regional Board shall make a finding that the CNRP, when fully implemented, shall achieve the urban WLA for nutrient by December 31, 2020; and,
- f. Once approved by the Regional Board, the CNRP shall be incorporated into this Order as the final WQBELs for LE/CL Nutrient TMDL. Based on BMP effectiveness analysis, the CNRP shall be updated, if necessary. The updated CNRP shall be implemented upon approval by the Regional Board.

- g. Compliance with the WLA is based on a 10-year running average. Hence, data collection consistent with the approved Phase 2 LE/CL TMDL monitoring program required in the Monitoring and Reporting Program must commence by December 31, 2010³⁷.
- h. A summary of all relevant data from water quality monitoring programs shall be submitted in the Annual Report. This will include an evaluation of compliance with the LE/CL TMDL by reporting the effectiveness of the BMPs implemented in the watershed to control nutrient inputs into the lake from Urban Runoff pursuant to Regional Board Resolution No. R8-2006-0031 and R8-2007-0083, or as amended by subsequent Regional Board adopted resolutions.
- i. The DAMP, WQMP and LIPs shall be revised as necessary to implement the plans submitted pursuant to paragraph a through h of this section and summarize all such revisions in the Annual Report.
- j. In the event that the Regional Board has not adopted alternative final WQBELs, in accordance with Section VI.D.2.d., above, by December 31, 2020, the Urban WLAs specified in Tables 9 and 10, below, shall automatically become the final numeric WQBELs for the LE/CL Permittees to be achieved by December 31, 2020. These final Effluent Limits shall be considered effective for enforcement purposes on January 1, 2021.

Table 9 - Canyon Lake Nitrogen and Phosphorus Waste Load and Load Allocations^a

Canyon Lake Nutrient TMDL	Final Total Phosphorus Waste Load Allocation (kg/yr) ^{b, c}	Final TN Waste Load Allocation (kg/yr) ^{b, c}
Urban	306 (675 lbs/yr)	3,974 (8763 lbs/yr)
Septic systems	139 (306 lbs/yr)	4,850 (10692 lbs/yr)

^a The WLAs for Canyon Lake apply to those land uses located upstream of Canyon Lake.

^b Final WLA compliance to be achieved by December 31, 2020.

^c TMDL and WLA specified as 10-year running average.

Table 10 - Lake Elsinore Nitrogen and Phosphorus Waste Load and Load Allocations^a

³⁷ Resolution No. R8-2004-0037 requires initiation of the Phase 2 watershed-wide Wet Season monitoring upon completion of the Phase 1 in-lake monitoring program. Regional Board staff is currently in discussion with LE/CL TMDL Task Force regarding this transition and are expected to identify reductions in Phase 1 monitoring program that will offset the costs of the enhanced Phase 2 program.

VIII. LEGAL AUTHORITY/ENFORCEMENT

MS4 from the non-Permittee MS4. The Regional Board will continue to notify the owner/operator of the MS4 systems and the Permittee if the Board issues a permit for discharges into the MS4.

F. The Co-Permittees shall annually review their Storm Water Ordinances and provide findings within the Annual Report on the effectiveness of these ordinances and enforcement programs in prohibiting the following types of discharges to the MS4 (the Co-Permittees may propose appropriate BMPs in lieu of prohibiting these discharges, where the Co-Permittees are responsible for ensuring that dischargers adequately maintain those BMPs):

1. Sewage, where a Co-Permittee operates the sewage collection system (also prohibited under the Statewide SSO Order³⁸);
2. Wash water resulting from the hosing or cleaning of gas stations, auto repair garages, and other types of automobile service stations;
3. Discharges resulting from the cleaning, repair, or maintenance of any type of equipment, machinery, or facility, including motor vehicles, concrete mixing equipment, portable toilet servicing, etc.;
4. Wash water from mobile auto detailing and washing, steam and pressure cleaning, carpet/upholstery cleaning, pool cleaning and other such mobile commercial and industrial activities;
5. Water from cleaning of municipal, industrial, and commercial sites, including parking lots, streets, sidewalks, driveways, patios, plazas, work yards and outdoor eating or drinking areas, etc.;
6. Runoff from material storage areas or uncovered receptacles that contain chemicals, fuels, grease, oil, or other Hazardous Materials³⁹;
7. Discharges of runoff from the washing of hazardous material from paved or unpaved areas;
8. Discharges of pool or fountain water containing chlorine, biocides, or other chemicals; pool filter backwash containing debris and chlorine;
9. Pet waste, yard waste, litter, debris, sediment, etc.; and,
10. Restaurant or food processing facility wastes such as grease, floor mat and trash bin wash water, food waste, etc.

³⁸ State Board WQO No. 2006-0003.

³⁹ Hazardous material is defined as any substrate that poses a threat to human health or the environment due to its toxicity, corrosiveness, ignitability, explosive nature or chemical reactivity. These also include materials named by EPA to be reported if a designed quantity of the material is spilled into the waters of the United States or emitted into the environment.

- G. Within 24 months after Order adoption, each Co-Permittee shall submit a certification statement, signed by its legal counsel, that the Co-Permittee has obtained all necessary legal authority in accordance with 40 CFR 122.26(d)(2)(i) (A-F) and to comply with this Order through adoption of ordinances and/or municipal code modifications. A copy of the certification shall also be placed in the LIP.
- H. Annually thereafter, Permittees shall evaluate the effectiveness of implementation and enforcement response procedures with respect to the above items. The findings of these reviews, along with recommended corrective actions, where appropriate, and schedules shall be submitted as part of the Annual Report for the corresponding reporting period. The LIP shall be updated accordingly.

IX. ILLICIT CONNECTIONS/ILLEGAL DISCHARGES (IC/ID); LITTER, DEBRIS AND TRASH CONTROL

- A. Consistent with each Co-Permittees statutory authority, the Co-Permittees have adopted Storm Water Ordinances. The Co-Permittees must continue to prohibit IC/IDs to the MS4 through their Storm Water Ordinances and the Principal Permittee must do so through its statutory authority. In addition, the Permittees must continue to implement and improve routine inspection and monitoring and reporting programs for their MS4 facilities. If routine inspections or Dry Season monitoring indicate IC/IDs, they must be investigated and eliminated or permitted within sixty (60) calendar days of receipt of notice by its staff or from a third party.
- B. The Permittees upon being put on notice by staff or a third party must immediately (within 24 hours of receipt of notice by its staff or from a third Party) investigate all spills, leaks, and/or other illegal discharges to the MS4. Based upon their assessment and as specified below, the Permittees must provide notifications and reporting as described in Section 4 of the DAMP and Section XVI of this Order.
- C. The Permittees shall control Illegal Dumping that may result in a discharge of Pollutants to the MS4 to the MEP. The Permittees shall describe their procedures and authorities for managing Illegal Dumping in their LIP.
- D. Within 18 months of adoption of this Order, the Permittees shall review and revise their IC/ID program to include a pro-active IDDE using the Guidance Manual for Illicit Discharge, Detection, and Elimination by the Center for Watershed Protection⁴⁰ or any

⁴⁰ USEPA (Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination - A Guidance Manual for Program Development and Technical Assessments) by the Center for Watershed Protection and Robert Pitt, University of Alabama, October 2004, updated 2005).

XI. CO-PERMITTEE INSPECTION PROGRAMS, A. General Requirements

Board staff inspection information is available at www.ciwqs.ca.gov⁴².

9. Each Co-Permittee shall respond to complaints received from third parties regarding Construction Sites and Industrial and Commercial Facilities in a timely manner to ensure that the sites are not a source of Pollutants to the MS4 and the Receiving Waters.
10. The Co-Permittees shall enforce their Storm Water Ordinances and permits at all Construction Sites and Industrial, and Commercial Facilities in a fair, firm and consistent manner. Sanctions for non-compliance as required under Section VIII (Legal Authority/Enforcement) shall be deemed adequate to bring the site into compliance with their Storm Water Ordinances and permits.
11. Each Co-Permittee shall document, evaluate and annually report the effectiveness of its enforcement procedures in achieving prompt and timely compliance with inspection programs. Sanctions for non-compliance shall be adequate to bring the site into compliance and to stop the Pollutant discharge consistent with the requirements of Section VIII of this Order.
12. The Principal Permittee and the County have implemented the CAP. Through the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health, the CAP addresses storm water compliance issues at restaurant facilities and businesses that must have a hazardous material permit for either storing, handling or generating hazardous materials. As described in Section 8 of the DAMP, the Permittees must either participate in the CAP or implement an equivalent inspection program. The cities of Corona and Riverside maintain such programs through their respective POTW pre-treatment programs that may be supplemented by the activities of the Department of Environmental Health during routine inspections. The County is establishing a stand-alone NPDES Storm water Compliance Inspection and Enforcement Program (CIEP) for Industrial and Commercial Facilities in the unincorporated areas of the County.
13. Where inspections and/or enforcement required by this Order are carried out on behalf of the Co-Permittee by other agencies or departments such as the County Department of Environmental Health, county and local fire departments, hazardous materials programs, code enforcement, industrial pretreatment, and building and safety, the Co-Permittee shall monitor and annually evaluate and report adequacy of program coverage and enforcement response in complying with this Order.
14. All inspectors shall be trained in accordance with Section XV.

⁴² To obtain access to the State database, registration at the following link is necessary: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ciwqs/chc_npdes.shtml. Contact information is available at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ciwqs/contactus.shtml.

B. CONSTRUCTION SITES

1. Each Co-Permittee shall include in the electronic database identified in Section XI.A.2 an inventory of all Construction Sites within its jurisdiction for which building or grading permits have been issued and activities at the site include: soil movement; uncovered storage of materials or wastes, such as dirt, sand or fertilizer; or exterior mixing of cementaceous products, such as concrete, mortar or stucco.
2. Each Permittee shall continue to prioritize Construction Sites within its jurisdiction as a high, medium or low threat to water quality. Evaluation of construction sites shall be based on factors, which shall include but not be limited to: soil erosion potential, project size, proximity and sensitivity of Receiving Waters and any other relevant factors. At a minimum, high priority Construction Sites shall include: sites disturbing 50 acres and greater; sites disturbing over 1 acre with Direct Discharge to Receiving Waters with CWA Section 303(d) listed waters for sediment or turbidity impairments; site specific characteristics⁴³; and any other relevant factor. At a minimum, medium priority construction sites shall include: sites disturbing between 10 to less than 50 acres of disturbed soil.
3. Each Permittee shall conduct Construction Site inspections for compliance with its ordinances (grading, WQMPs, etc.) and local permits (building, grading, etc.). The Permittees shall develop a checklist for conducting Construction Site inspections. Inspections of Construction Sites shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a. Verification of coverage under the General Construction Permit (PRDs or Waste Discharge Identification Number [WDID]) during the initial inspection. As Permittees become aware of changes in ownership, they shall notify Regional Board staff.
 - b. Ensure that the BMPs implemented on-site are effective for the appropriate phase of construction (preliminary stage, mass grading stage, streets and utilities stage etc.).
 - c. Visual observations for Illegal Discharges, potential Illicit Connections, and potential Pollutant sources.
 - d. Implementation and maintenance of BMPs required under local requirements.
 - e. An assessment of the effectiveness of BMPs implemented at the site and the need for any additional BMPs.

⁴³ The recently adopted General Construction Permit Order No. 2009-0009-DWQ includes risk-based characterization of construction sites based on site-specific conditions.

D. COMMERCIAL FACILITIES

term of this Order. At a minimum, each Commercial Facility shall be required to implement source control and pollution prevention BMPs consistent with the requirements of Section 8 of the DAMP. Co-Permittee follow-up inspections should include a review of BMPs implemented, their effectiveness and maintenance; written and photographic documentation of materials and waste handling and storage practices; evidence of past or present unauthorized, Non-storm Water discharges; and an assessment of management/employees awareness of storm water pollution prevention measures.

5. In the event that inappropriate material or waste handling or storage practices are observed, or there is evidence of past or present unauthorized, Non-storm Water discharges, a written enforcement order shall be issued at the time of the initial inspection for CAP equivalent inspection programs or at the time of the CAP follow-up inspection, to bring the Commercial Facility into compliance.
6. Within 18 months of adoption of this Order, the Co-Permittee shall notify all mobile businesses based within their jurisdiction concerning the minimum Source Control and Pollution Prevention BMPs that they must develop and implement. For purposes of this Order, mobile businesses include: mobile auto washing/detailing; equipment washing/cleaning; carpet, drape, furniture cleaning; and mobile high pressure or steam cleaning activities that are based out of a Co-Permittee's jurisdiction. The mobile businesses shall be required to implement appropriate BMPs within 3 months of being notified by the Co-Permittees. The Co-Permittees shall also notify mobile businesses discovered operating within their jurisdiction.
7. Within 24 months of adoption of this Order, the Co-Permittees shall develop an enforcement strategy to address mobile businesses.
8. The Co-Permittees should continue to maintain the CAP restaurant inspection program, or equivalent. Inspections for Commercial Facilities with restaurants shall, at a minimum, address:
 - a. Oil and grease disposal to verify that these wastes are not poured onto a parking lots, streets or adjacent catch basins;
 - b. Trash bin areas, to verify that these areas are clean, the bin lids are closed, the bins are not used for liquid waste disposal and wash water from the bins is not disposed of into the MS4;
 - c. Parking lot, alley, sidewalk and street areas to verify that floor mats, filters and garbage containers are not washed in those areas and that no wash water is disposed of in those areas;
 - d. Parking lot areas to verify that they are cleaned by sweeping, not by hosing down, and that the facility operator uses dry methods for spill cleanup; and,
 - e. Violations of the Storm Water Ordinance shall be enforced by the jurisdictional Co-Permittee.

E. RESIDENTIAL PROGRAM

1. Within 18 months of adoption of this Order, each Co-Permittee shall develop and implement a residential program consistent with these requirements to reduce the discharge of Pollutants from residential activities to the MS4, consistent with the MEP standard.
2. The Co-Permittees shall identify residential activities that are potential sources of Pollutants and develop and/or enhance Fact Sheets/BMPs as appropriate. At a minimum, this should include: residential auto washing and maintenance activities; use and disposal of pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers and household cleaners; and collection and disposal of pet wastes. The Permittees shall distribute the Fact Sheets/BMPs and appropriate information from organizations such as the Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District⁴⁴ and USDA's Backyard Conservation Program⁴⁵ to the residents to ensure that discharges from the residential areas are not causing or contributing to a violation of Water Quality Standards in the Receiving Waters.
3. The Co-Permittees, collectively or individually, shall facilitate the proper collection and management of used oil, toxic and hazardous materials, and other household wastes. The Permittees should continue distribution of information regarding the dates and locations of temporary and permanent household hazardous waste and antifreeze, oil, battery and paint collection events and facilities, and financial support of household hazardous waste and antifreeze, oil, battery and paint collection facilities and events or curbside or special collection sites managed by the Co-Permittees or private entities, such as solid waste haulers.
4. The Regional Board recommends continuation of Co-Permittee efforts to coordinate with local water purveyors and other stakeholders to encourage efficient irrigation and minimize runoff from residential areas.
5. The Co-Permittees shall enforce their Storm Water Ordinance as appropriate to control the discharge of Pollutants associated with residential activities.
6. Each Co-Permittee shall include an evaluation of its residential program in the Annual Report starting with the second Annual Report after adoption of this Order.

⁴⁴ The Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District (RCRCD) provides gardening and horticulture information appropriate for the area including native plant selection, backyard management, alternatives to pesticide, irrigation scheduling and composting. The RCRCD is sponsored by the cities and county of Riverside Only Rain Down the Storm Drain Pollution Prevention Program.

⁴⁵ Backyard Conservation, Bringing Conservation from the Countryside to Your Backyard, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Association of Conservation Districts, Wildlife Habitat Council and National Audubon Society.

B. WATERSHED ACTION PLAN

vulnerable streams, preservation of Beneficial Uses of streams in the Permit Area, and protection of water resources, including groundwater recharge areas.

3. Within three years of Permit adoption, the Co-Permittees shall develop the Watershed Action Plan and implementation tools to address impacts of urbanization in a holistic manner. At a minimum, the Watershed Action Plan shall include the following:
 - a. Describe proposed Regional BMP approaches that will be used to address Urban TMDL WLAs.
 - b. Develop recommendations for specific retrofit studies of MS4, parks and recreational areas that incorporate opportunities for addressing TMDL Implementation Plans, Hydromodification from Urban Runoff and LID implementation.
 - c. Description of regional efforts that benefit water quality (e.g. Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, TMDL Task Forces, Water Conservation Task Forces, Integrated Regional Watershed Management Plans) and their role in the Watershed Action Plan. The Permittees shall describe how these efforts link to their Urban Runoff Programs and identify any further coordination that should be promoted to address Urban WLA or Hydromodification from Urban Runoff to the MEP.
4. Within two years of adoption of this Order, the Permittees shall delineate existing unarmored or soft-armored stream channels in the Permit Area that are vulnerable to Hydromodification from New Development and Significant Redevelopment projects.
5. Within two years of completion of the delineation in Section XII,B.4 above, develop a Hydromodification management plan (HMP) describing how the delineation will be used on a per project, sub-watershed, and watershed basis to manage Hydromodification caused by urban runoff. The HMP shall prioritize actions based on drainage feature/susceptibility/risk assessments and opportunities for restoration.
 - a. The HMP shall identify potential causes of identified stream degradation including a consideration of sediment yield and balance on a watershed or sub-watershed basis.
 - b. Develop and implement a HMP to evaluate Hydromodification impacts for the drainage channels deemed most susceptible to degradation. The HMP will identify sites to be monitored, include an assessment methodology, and required follow-up actions based on monitoring results. **Where applicable, monitoring sites may be used to evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs in preventing or reducing impacts from Hydromodification.**

Area-wide Urban Runoff

RCFC&WCD, the County of Riverside, and the Incorporated Cities

D. WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN (WQMP) FOR URBAN RUNOFF (FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT/ SIGNIFICANT REDEVELOPMENT):

- a. VOLUME - Volume-based Treatment Control BMPs shall be designed to infiltrate, filter, or treat either:
 - i. The volume of runoff produced from a 24-hour, 85th percentile storm event, as determined from the County of Riverside's 85th Percentile Precipitation Isopluvial Map; or,
 - ii. The volume of annual runoff produced by the 85th percentile, 24-hour rainfall event determined as the maximized capture storm water volume for the area, from the formula recommended in Urban Runoff Quality Management, WEF Manual of Practice No. 23/ASCE Manual of Practice No. 87 (1998); or,
 - iii. The volume of annual runoff based on unit basin storage volume, to achieve 80% or more volume treatment by the method recommended in California Storm Water Best Management Practices Handbook – Industrial/Commercial (1993); or,
 - iv. The volume of runoff, as determined from the local historical rainfall record, that achieves approximately the same reduction in Pollutant loads and flows as achieved by mitigation of the 85th percentile, 24-hour runoff event;

OR

- b. FLOW - Flow-based BMPs shall be designed to infiltrate, filter, or treat either:
 - i. The maximum flow rate of runoff produced from a rainfall intensity of 0.2 inch of rainfall per hour; or,
 - ii. The maximum flow rate of runoff produced by the 85th percentile hourly rainfall intensity, as determined from the local historical rainfall record, multiplied by a factor of two; or,
 - iii. The maximum flow rate of runoff, as determined from the local historical rainfall record that achieves approximately the same reduction in Pollutant loads and flows as achieved by mitigation of the 85th percentile hourly rainfall intensity multiplied by a factor of two.
5. Within 24 months of adoption of this Order, the Permittees shall develop a procedure for streamlining regulatory agency approval of regional Treatment Control BMPs. The recommendations should include information needed to be submitted to Regional Board for consideration of regional Treatment Control BMPs. At a minimum, it should include: BMP location; type and effectiveness in removing Pollutants of Concern; projects tributary to the regional treatment system; engineering design details; funding sources for construction, operation and maintenance; and parties responsible for monitoring effectiveness, operation and maintenance.
6. The Permittees shall continue to require other development projects for which a map or permit for discretionary approval is sought (projects that are not New Developments or Significant Re-developments required to develop project-specific

WQMPs) to incorporate conditions of approval, to require appropriate Site Design, Source Control and any other BMPs which may or may not include Treatment Control BMPs.

7. The Permittees shall ensure that the revised WQMP addresses:
 - a. A review and update of Source Control BMPs required for New Development and Significant Redevelopment.
 - b. Update of the list of Treatment Control BMPs, including an evaluation of their effectiveness based on national, statewide or regional studies.
8. Groundwater Protection:

Treatment Control BMPs utilizing infiltration [exclusive of incidental infiltration and BMPs not designed to primarily function as infiltration devices (such as grassy swales, detention basins, vegetated buffer strips, constructed wetlands, etc.)] must comply with the following minimum requirements to protect groundwater:

- a. Use of structural infiltration Treatment Control BMPs shall not cause or contribute to an exceedance of groundwater Water Quality Objectives.
- b. Use of structural infiltration Treatment Control BMPs shall not cause a Nuisance or pollution as defined in Water Code Section 13050.
- c. Use of structural infiltration Treatment Control BMPs shall not be used in areas of known soil or groundwater contamination⁴⁸, without written authorization from the Regional Board Executive Officer.
- d. Located at least 100 feet horizontally from any water supply well.
- e. The vertical distance from the bottom of any infiltration structural Treatment Control BMP to the historic high groundwater mark shall be at least 10 feet. Where the groundwater basins do not support Beneficial Uses, this vertical distance criteria may be reduced, provided groundwater quality is maintained.
- f. Source Control and Pollution Prevention BMPs shall be implemented to protect groundwater quality.
- g. Adequate pretreatment of runoff prior to infiltration shall be required in gas stations and large commercial parking lots.
- h. Unless adequate pre-treatment of runoff is provided prior to infiltration, structural infiltration Treatment Control BMPs must not be used for areas of industrial or light industrial activity, such as: areas subject to high vehicular traffic (25,000 or more daily traffic), car washes; nurseries; or any other high threat to water quality land uses or activities.

⁴⁸ Extra diligence should also be performed when proposing infiltration BMPs in areas where the proposed land use is often associated with soil and groundwater contamination.

XVII. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT/DAMP REVIEW

- A. By November 30 of each year, the Permittees shall evaluate the effectiveness of the Urban Runoff management program described in the DAMP to determine the need for any revisions in order to reduce Pollutants in MS4 discharges consistent with the MEP standard consistent with the reporting requirements in Appendix 3, Section IV.B. In addition, the first Annual Report (November 2010) after adoption of this Order shall include the following:
1. Review of the formal training needs of Permittee employees.
 2. Review of coordination meeting/training for the designated NPDES inspectors.
 3. Proposal for assessment of Urban Runoff management program effectiveness on an area wide as well as jurisdiction-specific basis. Permittees shall utilize the CASQA Guidance⁵⁸ for developing these assessment measures at the six outcome levels. The assessment measures must target both water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities consistent with the requirements of Appendix 3, Section IV.B.
- B. The Annual Report shall include the findings of this review and a schedule to address necessary revisions, or a copy of the amended DAMP with the proposed changes. Replacement pages are acceptable if modifications are not extensive. Annual Reports shall also be submitted in electronic format.
- C. Upon the effective date of this Order, the Permittees shall implement the 2007 DAMP and modify it to be consistent with the requirements of this Order and the schedules contained herein.
- D. Each Permittee shall designate at least one representative to the Management Steering Committee and Technical Committee. The Principal Permittee shall be notified immediately, in writing, of changes to the designated representative to either Committee. The designated representative for each Committee shall attend that Committee's meeting as follows: at least one (1) out of two (2) Management Steering Committee meetings and eight (8) out of ten (10) Technical Committee meetings per year to discuss issues related to permit implementation and regional and statewide issues.
- E. The Permittees shall continue to implement all elements of the approved DAMP. Program elements revised in compliance with the requirements of this Order must be implemented in conformance with the schedules specified in this Order following approval of the Executive Officer.

⁵⁸ CASQA, May 2007. Municipal Storm Water Program Effectiveness Assessment Guidance.

Orange County and San Bernardino County), Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP), POTW operators, the dairy industry, the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority (SAWPA), and other public and private organizations in the watershed to develop coordinated surface water quality monitoring programs, databases, and special studies as appropriate. The Regional Board supports continued coordination with SCCWRP and the SMC to facilitate and implement coordinated watershed based monitoring programs. The Permittees may use coordinated monitoring efforts such as the Middle Santa Ana River (MSAR) and Lake Elsinore/Canyon Lake (LE/CL) TMDL Task Forces, SCCWRP and SMC regional monitoring programs to address partially, or in full, the requirements of this MRP. A proposed coordinated monitoring program shall result in the development and implementation of a monitoring plan that:

1. Fully addresses the requirements of this MRP;
 2. Describes how the external monitoring programs address the requirements of the MRP;
 3. Include a quality assurance plan, including data management, validation, verification mechanism for the portions of the monitoring directly conducted by the Permittees;
 4. Reference the locations of the quality assurance plans for regional components; and
 5. Result in a coordinated Annual Report summarizing the pertinent Urban Runoff data from the coordinated programs necessary to address this MRP.
- C. Within 12 months of adoption of this Order, the Permittees shall review the CMP, Regional and TMDL related monitoring programs that they conduct or participate to determine their effectiveness in achieving the Urban Runoff assessment requirements contained in Section IV.B, below. If this review indicates any data gaps, the Principal Permittee shall submit a revised CMP, or coordinate revisions to other regional programs for approval of the Executive Officer to ensure that the combined efforts adequately address the requirements of Section IV.B. The revised CMP, including a description of how other regional efforts combine with the CMP to address requirements of Section IV.B shall be submitted within 16 months of adoption of this Order and shall be implemented within six months of its approval by the Executive Officer. Pending approval of the revised CMP, current monitoring efforts will continue to be implemented.
- D. TMDL/303(d) Listed Waterbody Monitoring: The Permittees identified as dischargers in adopted TMDLs shall continue to participate in TMDL monitoring programs as required by TMDL Implementation Plans. The compliance schedules for the two approved TMDLs within the Permit Area are beyond the five year MS4 Permit term. This Order requires Permittees identified as

dischargers in their respective TDMLs to conduct monitoring required by the TMDL Implementation Plans to determine the effectiveness of the BMPs implemented in reducing Pollutant loads and eventually to attain WLA by the deadlines specified in the respective TMDL Implementation Plans.

1. MSAR Bacteria WLA TMDL USEP monitoring

- a. On June 14, 2007, the TMDL task force members submitted a source evaluation plan and a monitoring plan. The Regional Board approved these plans on June 29, 2007, Resolution No. R8-2007-0046. A revised monitoring plan and an urban Bacterial Indicator source evaluation plan were approved by the Regional Board on April 18, 2008, Resolution No. R8-2008-0044. The MSAR Permittees within the MSAR watershed shall continue to conduct monitoring and source evaluations in accordance with the approved plans and report the findings in accordance with the schedules specified in the approved plans or as updated by subsequent Regional Board approved revisions.
- b. In conformance with Task 3 of the TMDL Implementation Plan contained in Resolution R8-2005-0001, the Permittees shall individually, or in conjunction with the MSAR TMDL Task Force, prepare a triennial report summarizing the data collected for the preceding 3 year period and evaluating compliance with the WLAs. The first report shall be due February 15, 2010.
- c. The Permittees shall conduct monitoring and reporting consistent with Section VI.D. of this Order to evaluate the effectiveness of the BMPs implemented in the watershed and determine their progress towards attaining compliance with the interim WQBELS, and final BMP-based WQBELS, if approved, or the final numeric WQBELS/WLAs.

2. Lake Elsinore/Canyon Lake Nutrient TMDL

- a. Monitor and report the effectiveness of the BMPs implemented in the watershed to control nutrient inputs into the lakes from Urban Runoff. Submit an Annual Report summarizing all relevant data from water quality monitoring programs and evaluating compliance with the LE/CL TMDL by reporting the effectiveness of the BMPs implemented in the watershed to control nutrient inputs into the lake from Urban Runoff pursuant to Regional Board Resolution No. R8-2006-0031 and R8-2007-0083, or as amended by subsequent Regional Board adopted resolutions.
- b. The Permittees shall conduct monitoring and reporting consistent with Section VI.D. of this Order to evaluate the effectiveness of the BMPs implemented in the watershed and determine their progress towards attaining compliance with the interim WQBELS, and final BMP-based WQBELS, if approved, or the final numeric WQBELS/WLAs.

E. In addition, any requirements developed by the State Board in accordance with Water Code Section 13383.5 shall be considered during any revision of the CMP. The revised CMP shall, at a minimum, include the following:

1. Mass Emissions Monitoring – Core Stations:

- a. An estimate of flow in cubic feet per second (cfs) from the Outfall/stream at the time of sampling.
- b. Monitor mass emissions in Urban Runoff to:
 - i) Estimate the total mass emissions from the MS4s to Receiving Waters.
 - ii) Assess trends in mass emissions associated with specific urban storm water discharges from the MS4 over time.
 - iii) Determine if Urban Runoff may be contributing to exceedances of Water Quality Objectives or Beneficial Uses in Receiving Waters by comparing water quality data from Outfall and Receiving Water results to: (1) Water quality Objectives (WQOs); (2) California Toxic Rule (CTR) (3) USEPA Multi-Sector Permit Parameter Benchmark Values and (4) other MS4 discharger's monitoring data or other appropriate data identified by the Permittees. The Permittees should also evaluate the Regional Monitoring reports prepared by SCCWRP to assess trends in Urban Runoff and Receiving Water quality within the Permit Area.
 - iv) Representative samples from the first sampleable storm event (based on mobilization criteria to be established in the CMP) of the Wet Season (October 1 to May 31) and two more storm events shall be collected during the Wet Season. A minimum of two Dry Weather samples shall also be collected. Samples from the first sampleable storm event each year shall be analyzed for constituents according to the list provided in the 2007-2008 Santa Ana Region Monitoring Annual Report, Attachment A. This list includes 40 CFR 122 Appendix D Tables II and III, and Tables IV and V if expected to be present, and additional constituents. All samples shall be analyzed for *E. coli*, nutrients (Nitrates + Nitrites, potassium, and phosphorous), hardness¹, metals, pH, TSS, TOC, pesticides/herbicides, and Pollutants/stressors for 303(d) listed Receiving Waters. Dry Weather samples should also include analyses for TPH (8015M – direct injection) and oil and grease. The analyte list will be reviewed annually. Constituents may be added to the list for a selected monitoring station if they are expected to be present, and removed from the list if three consecutive samples from the station have not had detectable concentrations of the constituent.

¹ Hardness is necessary to evaluate some metal Water Quality Objectives in receiving waters.

- v) Monitoring locations shall be integrated into a GIS database system. All monitoring data shall continue to be placed in an electronic database.

2. Water Column Toxicity Monitoring: Analyses for Toxicity to aquatic species shall be performed on receiving water samples to determine if there may be impacts of Urban Runoff on Toxicity of Receiving Waters. The *Ceriodaphnia dubia* survival (acute), Fathead Minnow larval survival (acute), and Selenastrum Capricornutum growth (chronic) tests shall be used to evaluate Toxicity on the sample from the first sampleable storm event, plus one other Wet Season storm event sample. Where applicable, two Dry Weather samples shall also be collected or equivalent procedures shall be proposed in the CMP. In addition, criteria shall be identified which will trigger the initiation of Toxicity Identification Evaluations (TIEs) and Toxicity Reduction Evaluations (TREs).

To the extent that the Toxicity testing developed as part of the Regional Bioassessment Monitoring described in item 5 and Section D below, or other standardized Toxicity testing protocols developed by the State Board, Regional Board, SMC or SCCWRP, satisfies the objective of determining the impact of Urban Runoff on Toxicity of Receiving Waters, the Permittees may satisfy this requirement by participating in the regional bioassessment effort or conducting Toxicity testing consistent with the standardized protocols.

3. Illicit Connection/Illegal Discharge (IC/ID) Monitoring: The Permittees shall review and update their Dry Weather and Wet Weather reconnaissance strategies to identify and eliminate IC/IDs using the Guidance Manual for Illicit Discharge, Detection, and Elimination developed by the Center for Watershed Protection² or any other equivalent program. Where possible, the use of GIS to identify geographic areas with a high density of industries associated with gross Pollution (e.g. electroplating industries, auto dismantlers) and/or locations subject to maximum sediment loss (e.g. New Development) may be used to determine areas for intensive monitoring efforts. The Dry Weather monitoring for nitrogen and total dissolved solids shall be used to establish a baseline dry weather flow concentration for TDS and TIN at each Core monitoring location.
4. Sources of Data: Where possible and applicable, water quality data shall be obtained from monitoring efforts of other public or private agencies/entities (e.g., Caltrans).
5. Bioassessment: In lieu of developing an independent bioassessment program as required in the prior term permit, the Principal Permittee, on behalf of the Co-Permittees, participates (through a memorandum of understanding and cooperative agreements) with the 16 member agencies of the SMC. The SMC's Bioassessment Working Group conducts bioassessments on a regional basis. The Principal Permittee in coordination with SCCWRP shall ensure that

² USEPA (Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination - A Guidance Manual for Program Development and Technical Assessments) by the Center for Watershed Protection and Robert Pitt, University of Alabama, October 2004, updated 2005).

- a sufficient number of monitoring stations are selected for this program from locations within the Permit Area.
- a. The Principal Permittee, in collaboration with the SMC, shall conduct sampling, analysis, and reporting of specified in-stream biological and habitat data within the 5-year permit cycle according to the protocols specified in the SCCWRP Tech Report No. 539.
 - b. Within Riverside County, the bioassessment project area consists of the lower half of the MSAR watershed, the San Jacinto watershed, and the northern Santa Margarita watershed (northern San Diego) for a total of 1.5 watershed units, a minimum of 9 samples shall be collected per year³. Within Riverside County's Santa Ana and San Jacinto Watersheds, which are in the Permit Area, the Permittees shall sample 5 sites per year. SWAMP samples 2 sites per year.
 - c. For **long-term trend monitoring**, the Principal Permittee shall collect a minimum of 1 sample per year during the dry weather index period, as noted in the SCCWRP Tech Report No. 539. Additional samples may be collected to improve data quality for trend analysis. At a minimum, chemistry and aquatic Toxicity should be used as indicators for trend analysis.
 - d. **Any baseline and historic information on stream geomorphology and ecological health, including aquatic habitats, in the Receiving Waters and the findings from the trend analysis shall be used to evaluate the effectiveness of Urban Runoff management program, including the requirements specified in the Order.**
6. A Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) within the CMP that describes how data will be collected and analyzed to ensure that data is consistent with State and Regional Board monitoring programs and is of high quality. Dischargers shall develop a QAPP that is compatible with the State's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) QAPP and approved by the Regional Board's Quality Assurance Officer. A QAPP template is available, upon request, through the State Board's SWAMP website (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/swamp/qapp.shtml). All analytical methods, target reporting limits, and data reporting formats should be SWAMP compatible unless otherwise specified in this MRP. The QAPP will include location of sample site(s), description of analytical techniques, data quality objectives, and other standard quality assurance information.

³ See Table 4 page 15 of Technical Report No.539.

7. A procedure for the collection, analysis, and interpretation of existing data from local, regional or national monitoring programs. These data sources may be utilized to:
 - a. Characterize different sources of Pollutants discharged to the MS4;
 - b. Determine pollutant generation, transport and fate;
 - c. Develop a relationship between land use, development size, storm size and the event mean concentration of Pollutants;
 - d. Determine spatial and temporal variances in Urban Runoff quality and seasonal and other bias in the collected data; and
 - e. Identify any unique features of the permitted area.
 - f. The Permittees are encouraged to use water quality data from similar studies, if available.

8. The CMP update shall include descriptions of:
 - a. The number of monitoring stations;
 - b. Monitoring locations within MS4s, Major Outfalls, and Receiving Waters; environmental indicators (e.g., ecosystem, flow, biological, habitat, chemical, sediment, stream health, etc.) chosen for monitoring; The initial update shall at least contain the sampling stations listed in Table 1, below:

Table 1 Current Core Monitoring Stations

Station Number	Class	Station Description	Latitude	Longitude
40	Outfall	Corona Storm Drain – Line K Harrison & Sheridan St.	33.885	-117.568611
316	Outfall	Sunnymead Chanel – Line B Alessandro & Heacock	33.917778	-117.242222
318	Outfall	Hemet Channel @ Sanderson Ave.	33.734167	-117.005556
364	Outfall	Magnolia Center – SD @ Santa Ana River	33.964722	-117.414444
702	Outfall	University Wash – Market & Bowling Green	33.9975	-117.370833
707	Outfall	North Norco Channel @ Country Club Lane	33.907778	-117.583889
752	Outfall	Perris Line J - Sunset Ave below Murrieta Rd.	33.803333	-117.2075

- c. Total number of samples to be collected from each station, frequency of sampling during Wet Weather and Dry Weather, short duration or long duration storm events, type of samples (grab, 24-hour composite, etc.), justification for composite versus discrete sampling, type of sampling equipment, quality assurance/quality control procedures followed during sampling and analysis, analysis protocols to be followed (including sample preparation and maximum reporting limits), and qualifications of laboratories performing analyses;

- d. A procedure for analyzing the collected data and interpreting the results. This procedure shall include the evaluation of the effectiveness of the BMPs, a comparative analysis of the Permittees' monitoring data to the USEPA Multi-Sector Permit Parameter Benchmark Values and applicable Water Quality Objectives specified in Chapter 4 of the Basin Plan, and the need for any refinement of the WQMPs, the DAMP and or/the LIPs.
- e. Parameters selected for field screening and for laboratory work; and
- f. A description of the responsibilities of all the participants in this program, including cost sharing.
- g. Receiving Water Monitoring:
Permittees shall select at least one representative receiving water location within each of the San Jacinto River and Santa Ana River watersheds. These locations should be close Major Outfalls, coordinated with other regional monitoring programs to the extent feasible, include locations where chronic and/or persistent water quality problems associated with Urban Runoff have been identified, and should be selected so as to be useful to determine if Urban Runoff is causing or contributing to violations of Water Quality Standards in the Receiving Waters.
- h. Monitoring within MS4s:
Permittees shall evaluate their current CMP MS4 monitoring locations (identified in Table 1, above) to ensure that they are representative of urban runoff. The objective of this monitoring element is to determine the pollutant loads from the MS4s and to determine their trend. This monitoring requirement may be incorporated into the mass emissions monitoring described in III.E.1, above.

F. REGIONAL WATERSHED MONITORING

1. The objectives of the Regional Watershed Monitoring Program overseen by the SWAMP and the SMC and coordinated by SCCWRP are:
 - a. To assess the current status of streams in Southern California.
 - b. To identify major stressors to aquatic life.
 - c. To monitor the trend in water quality in Southern California streams.
2. The bioassessment discussed above, should provide information about the biological, chemical and toxicological integrity of Receiving Waters. Baseline and trend monitoring information on the biotic and geomorphological condition of the Receiving Waters should be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the Urban Runoff pollution control measures.

3. The Riverside County Regional Watershed monitoring area is within the lower half of the MSAR watershed, the San Jacinto watershed, and the northern Santa Margarita watershed (northern San Diego) for a total of 1.5 watershed units⁴. Within Riverside County's Santa Ana and San Jacinto watersheds, the Permittees sample 5 sites per year. SWAMP samples 2 sites per year.
4. The sampling sites in each watershed unit were determined according to distribution or abundance of the three land uses: urban, agriculture, or open. The sampling grid includes 15 watershed units located from Ventura to San Diego and as far east as San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. A total of 450 samples in the 15 watershed units will be collected within a five year period to assess the spatial extent of impacts to streams within the area. Samples will be collected at sites representing each of the three land use types. Each site will be sampled only once during an index period and not all sites need to be sampled during the same year. One-fifth of the samples (90 samples) will be collected each year for the 15 watersheds. Sampling events shall be conducted between 4 to 12 weeks following the last significant rainfall. No sampling shall occur within 72 hours of any measurable rainfall. The default index period will be from May 15 to July 15. The specifics and details of the Regional Watershed Program are discussed in "The Regional Monitoring of Southern California's Watershed SMC Bioassessment Working Group", SCCWRP, Technical Report No. 539, December 2007 (The Tech Report).
5. Any baseline and historic information on stream geomorphology and ecological health, including aquatic habitats, in the Receiving Waters and the findings from the trend analysis shall be used to evaluate the effectiveness of Urban Runoff management program, including the requirements specified in the Order.

G. HYDROMODIFICATION MONITORING PROGRAM

This Order requires development and implementation of a Hydromodification Monitoring Plan as part of the Watershed Action Plan (WAP) to evaluate the effectiveness of hydromodification controls implemented within the Permit Area (Some or all of the following requirements may be satisfied by the Permittees participation in the "Development of Tools for Hydromodification Assessment and Management' Project" undertaken by the SMC and coordinated by SCCWRP and follow on efforts to develop Hydromodification monitoring guidance).

1. The Order requires the Permittees to revise the DAMP to incorporate Watershed Action Plan principles within three years of adoption of the Order. The hydromodification requirements require the Permittees to identify

⁴ See Table 4 page 15 of Technical Report No.539.

vulnerable streams and possible BMPs to minimize HCOCs and tools to measure any impacts on geomorphology and aquatic resources.

2. The Hydromodification monitoring program shall:
 - a. Assess the effectiveness of Hydromodification management within the Permit Area.
 - b. Predict the effects of urbanization on stream stability within the Permit Area.

H. LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT BMP MONITORING

The Principal Permittee shall continue to participate in data collection and monitoring to assess the effectiveness of LID techniques in semi-arid climate as part of the SMC project titled, "Quantifying the Effectiveness of Site Design/ Low Impact Development Best Management Practices in Southern California". The Principal Permittee is also developing a regional LID BMP testing and demonstration facility at the main office that meets the intent of this requirement (currently the facility data is intended to be integrated into the SMC project).

IV. RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS

- A. All monitoring activities shall meet the following requirements:
 1. The Permittees shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance of monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports prepared as per this MRP and records of all data used to complete the Report of Waste Discharge and Annual Reports for a period of at least five years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Regional Board or USEPA at any time and shall be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation regarding this discharge [40 CFR 122.41(j)(2), CWC section 13383(a)].
 2. Records of monitoring information shall include [40 CFR 122.41(j)(3)]:
 - a. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - b. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - c. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - d. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - e. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - f. The results of such analyses.

3. Calculations for all Effluent Limitations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this MRP [40 CFR 122.41(I)(4)(iii)].

B. PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING

1. All progress reports and proposed strategies and plans required by this Order shall be signed by the Principal Permittee, and copies shall be submitted to the Executive Officer under penalty of perjury.
2. The Permittees shall submit an Annual Report to the Executive Officer and to the Regional Administrator of the USEPA, Region 9, no later than November 30th, of each year. This progress report shall also be submitted in a mutually agreeable electronic format that is text searchable. Any monitoring data shall also be submitted electronically in the form outlined in Section IV.B.4 of this MRP. At a minimum, the Annual Report shall include the following:
 - a. A review of the status of program implementation and compliance (or non-compliance) with the schedules contained in this Order;
 - b. An assessment of the effectiveness of BMPs established under the IC/ID program and the DAMP. The effectiveness may be measured in terms of how successful the program has been in eliminating IC/IDs and/or reducing pollutant loads in urban storm water runoff, including summaries of Permittee actions to investigate and eliminate or permit IC/IDs and measures to reduce and/or eliminate the discharge of Pollutants, including trash and debris
 - c. An assessment of BMPs and their effectiveness in addressing Pollutants causing or contributing to an exceedance of water quality objectives in Receiving Waters that are on the 303(d) list of impaired waters. The effectiveness evaluation shall consider changes in land use and population on the quality of Receiving Waters and the impact of development on sediment loading within sediment impaired Receiving Waters and recommend necessary changes to program implementation and monitoring needs.
 - d. An assessment of the Permittees compliance status with the Receiving Waters Limitations, Section VII of this Order, including any proposed modifications to the DAMP if the Receiving Water Limitations are not fully achieved.
 - e. An overall program assessment. The Permittees are encouraged to use the program assessment methodology described in the 2007 ROWD. The Permittees should determine, to the extent practicable, water quality

improvements and Pollutant load reductions resulting from implementation of various program elements. The Permittees may also use the "Municipal Storm Water Program Effectiveness Assessment Guidance" developed by CASQA in May 2007 as guidance for assessing program effectiveness at various outcome levels. The assessment should include each program element required under this Order, the expected outcome and the measures used to assess the outcome. The Permittees may propose any other methodology for program assessment using measurable targeted outcomes.

- f. Description of program modifications and improvements identified during the program assessment above along with implementation schedule for incorporation of revisions into the Local Implementation Plans (LIPs).
- g. An assessment of any modifications to the WQMPs, or the DAMP made to comply with CWA requirements to reduce the discharge of Pollutants to the MEP;
- h. A summary, evaluation, and discussion of monitoring results from the previous year and any changes to the monitoring program to be made the following year;
- i. A fiscal resources analysis progress report as described in Section XVIII.B of Order No. R8-2010-0033 including:
 - i. Each Permittee's expenditures for the previous fiscal year;
 - ii. Each Permittee's budget for the current fiscal year; and
 - iii. A description of the source of funds.
- j. A draft work plan that describes the proposed implementation of the LIPs and DAMP for next fiscal year. The work plan shall include clearly defined tasks, responsibilities, and schedules for implementation of the storm water program and each Permittee's actions for the next fiscal year;
- k. Major changes in any previously submitted plans/policies;
- l. If the Implementation Agreement is revised, a copy of the signature page and revisions to the Implementation Agreement.
- m. A review of each Permittee's Storm Water Ordinances and their enforcement practices to assess their effectiveness in prohibiting non-exempt, Non-storm Water discharges to the MS4 (The Permittees may propose appropriate BMPs in lieu of prohibiting these discharges, where the Permittees are responsible for ensuring that dischargers adequately maintain those BMPs).

3. The Co-Permittees shall be responsible for the submittal of all required information/materials needed to comply with this order in a timely manner to the Principal Permittee. A duly authorized representative of the Co-Permittee shall sign all such submittals under penalty of perjury.
4. The monitoring data transmittals to the Regional Board shall be in the form developed by the SMC and approved by the State Board in the document entitled "Standardized Data Exchange Formats". This document was developed in order to provide a standard format for all data transfers so that data can be universally shared and evaluated from various programs.

V. REPORTING SCHEDULE

All reports required by this Order shall be submitted to the Executive Officer in accordance with the following schedule:

Reference		Item	Completion Time after Permit Adoption or Frequency	Report Due Date
Permit	DAMP ^(a)			
III.A.1.e III.B.3.a,d,e & XVII.D.		Management Steering Committee meetings to discuss MS4 Permit implementation	Held at least twice per year.	Annual Report
III.A.1.f III.B.3.a,d,e & XVII.D.		Permittee Technical Committee meetings to discuss permit implementation	Held at least 10 times each year	Annual Report
III.B.3.a,d,e & XVII.D.		Co-Permittees participate in Management Steering and Technical Committee meetings to discuss MS4 Permit implementation	Attend at least 1 out of 2 Management and 8 out of 10 Technical meetings each year	Annual Report
III.A.1.r		The Principal Permittee shall develop a library of BMP performance reports, and revise the BMP performance report annually thereafter.	Within 6 months of permit adoption	
III.A.1.s		The Principal Permittee shall coordinate a review of the DAMP with the Co-Permittees to determine the need for update or revisions and establish a schedule for those revisions.	Within 6 months of permit adoption	
III.B.2.g		Submit up-to-date MS4 facility maps	Annually to Principal Permittee	Annual Report

Effectiveness Assessment Outcome Level 1 - Compliance with Activity-based Permit Requirements – Level 1 outcomes are those directly related to the implementation of specific activities prescribed by this Order or established pursuant to it.

Effectiveness Assessment Outcome Level 2 - Changes in Attitudes, Knowledge, and Awareness – Level 2 outcomes are measured as increases in knowledge and awareness among target audiences such as residents, businesses, and municipal employees.

Effectiveness Assessment Outcome Level 3 - Behavioral Change and BMP Implementation – Level 3 outcomes measure the effectiveness of activities in affecting behavioral change and BMP implementation.

Effectiveness Assessment Outcome Level 4 - Load Reductions – Level 4 outcomes measure load reductions which quantify changes in the amounts of pollutants associated with specific sources before and after a BMP or other control measure is employed.

Effectiveness Assessment Outcome Level 5 - Changes in Urban Runoff and Discharge Quality – Level 5 outcomes are measured as changes in one or more specific constituents or stressors in discharges into or from MS4s.

Effectiveness Assessment Outcome Level 6 - Changes in Receiving Water Quality – Level 6 outcomes measure changes to receiving water quality resulting from discharges into and from MS4s, and may be expressed through a variety of means such as compliance with water quality objectives or other regulatory benchmarks, protection of biological integrity, or beneficial use attainment.

Effluent Limitations – means any restriction on quantities, discharge rates, and concentrations of Pollutants which are discharged from Point Sources into Waters of the U.S., waters of the “contiguous zone,” or the ocean (40 CFR 122.2).

Emergency Situation – At a minimum, sewage spills that could impact water contact recreation, all sewage spills above 1,000 gallons, an oil spill that could impact wildlife, a Hazardous Material spill where residents are evacuated, all reportable quantities of Hazardous Waste spills as per 40CFR 117 and 302, and any incident reportable to the OES (1-800-852-7550).

Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) – These are water quality protection plans that include control measures for erosion prevention and sediment controls that would minimize the mobilization of sediment from the project site.

ESA – Environmentally Sensitive Area - An area “in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in